CP 1601

4

SINGLE BENCH

P.R. No. 4151/06
Presented by Shri S.R.T. Jaiswal
dated 11.8.06

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4083 OF 2006

(S)

PETITIONER :

Chinta Mani Tripathi, S/o Bhagwati Prasad Tripathi, Aged about 49 years, Presently posted and working as Sub Engineer, Water Resources Department, Sub Division, Sarangarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh).

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through the Secretary, Department of Water Resources, D.K.S. Building, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Under Secretary, Department of Water Resources, D.K.S. Building, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Engineer-in-Chief, Department of Water Resources, Sihava Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Executive Engineer, Water Resources Division, Raigrarh (CG).

RECEIVED-COPY
11/8/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

11/8/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 4083/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

10.8.2006

Shri B.D. Guru, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State on advance copy.

Heard.

By this petition, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 30.6.2006 (Annexure P-1) whereby he has been transferred from Sub Division, Water Resources Department, Sarangarh, District Raigarh to Tribal Development Pilot Project Division, Jagdalpur, Bastar.

The main ground of challenge is that the petitioner has been subjected to frequent transfers, as within a short span of two years the petitioner has been transferred thrice. Another ground is that the marriage of petitioner's daughter is fixed in the month of February, 2007 and vide impugned order the petitioner has been transferred at a distance of 400 kms.

Therefore, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 and in turn, he be directed to decide the same in accordance with law, to which Shri Bajaj, learned Dy. Govt. Adv. for the State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 raising all the grievances within 10 days from today along with copy of this petition and in turn, respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of representation in accordance with law after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3173/06 & I.A. No.7607/06 also stand disposed of.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

P.T.O.